CAN AFFINITY INTERACTIONS INFLUENCE THE PARTITIONING OF GLUCOSE-6-PHOSPHATE DEHYDROGENASE IN TWO-PHASE AQUEOUS MICELLAR SYSTEMS?
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In this work, we provide an investigation of the role and strength of affinity interactions on the partitioning of the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase in aqueous two-phase micellar systems. These systems are constituted of micellar surfactant solutions and offer both hydrophobic and hydrophilic environments, providing selectivity to biomolecules. We studied G6PD partitioning in systems composed of the nonionic surfactants, separately, in the presence and absence of affinity ligands. We observed that G6PD partitions to the micelle-poor phase, owing to the strength of excluded-volume interactions in these systems that drive the protein to the micelle-poor phase, where there is more free volume available.
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INTRODUCTION

The industrial production of proteins and other biomolecules depends significantly on the extraction/purification processes. The development of techniques and methods for separation and purification of proteins has been essential for many of the recent advances in biotechnology.1 One of the techniques that can be considered promising is liquid-liquid extraction. In general, this method offers the possible advantages of versatility, scalability, cost effectiveness, and environmental friendliness compared to the conventional methods that they may replace.

In particular, two-phase aqueous micellar systems, formed by some surfactants at certain conditions, have been proposed as an attractive option, to be used in bioseparations.2-4 In these systems an aqueous surfactant solution, under the appropriate solution conditions, spontaneously separates into two predominantly aqueous, yet immiscible, liquid phases, one of which has a higher concentration of micelles than the other.4

An aqueous solution of the nonionic surfactant octylphenol ethoxylate (Triton X-114), for example, undergoes macroscopic phase separation upon increasing the temperature over a temperature known as the cloud-point of the surfactant, resulting in a bottom, micelle-rich phase and a top, micelle-poor phase, as represented in Figure 1.5,6 Since the concentration of surfactant in each phase exceeds its critical micelle concentration (CMC), a threshold concentration below which surfactant molecules are predominantly dispersed as monomers and above which they predominantly form micelles, micelles are present in both phases.3 However, the micelles in the concentrated phase (micelle-rich) usually are larger and more abundant than those in the diluted phase (micelle-poor). Two-phase aqueous Triton X-114 micellar system was first proposed for isolation of membrane proteins by Bordier. This author showed that hydrophilic proteins partition preferentially into the micelle-poor phase, while hydrophobic or integral membrane proteins partition preferentially into the micelle-rich phase.7

The potential of separating hydrophilic biomolecules in two-phase aqueous micellar systems based on size differences was first recognized by Blankschtein and co-workers, who studied the partitioning of several water soluble proteins in the two-phase aqueous micellar system formed by the nonionic surfactant C10E4 (n-decy1 tetra(ethylene oxide)).3,8,9 In addition, a theoretical description was developed to model the partitioning behavior of hydrophilic proteins in two-phase aqueous nonionic micellar systems based on statistical thermodynamics.8 According to this theory, the partitioning of proteins is governed primarily by repulsive, steric, excluded-volume interactions between the globular hydrophilic proteins and the non-charged cylindrical micelles, which drive the proteins preferentially to the micelle-poor phase.
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Therefore, under conditions of low protein concentration, non-charged surfactants, and low salt concentration, the partition coefficient is given by:

\[ K_p^{EV} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{\phi_c - \phi_d}{1 + \frac{R_p}{R_c}} \right\} \]

where \( K_p^{EV} \) is the partition coefficient of the protein based on excluded-volume interactions, \( \phi_c \) and \( \phi_d \) are the surfactant volume fractions in the concentrated and diluted phases, respectively, \( R_p \) is the hydrodynamic radius of the protein, and \( R_c \) is the cross-sectional radius of each cylindrical micelle. More recently, this theoretical description was extended to model the partitioning of hydrophilic proteins in two-phase aqueous mixed (nonionic/ionic) micellar systems, where electrostatic interactions between the charged mixed micelles and the charged proteins were accounted for.\(^{11,12}\)

Affinity interactions have been suggested as a novel playing force to improve the yield and the specificity of this type of process.\(^3,13\)\(^{14,15}\) A particularly attractive feature of these systems is the prospect of being able to select a target protein by preferentially extracting it into the micelle-rich phase, while simultaneously removing most of the impurities into the micelle-poor phase, owing to the excluded-volume interactions between these impurities and the micelles.\(^15\) Two-phase aqueous micellar systems may be a more convenient choice in affinity-enhanced extractions than their polymer counterparts, because the ligands might be incorporated in situ by micellar self-assembly, thus eliminating the need for time-consuming and expensive chemical synthesis.\(^3\) Usually, for two-phase aqueous polymer systems, the affinity ligand that targets the desired biomolecule is covalently attached to one of the phase-forming polymers through chemical synthesis,\(^{13,16-18}\) whereas in aqueous two-phase micellar systems, a relatively hydrophobic affinity ligand, specific for the desired hydrophilic protein, might strongly interact with it resulting in an affinity ligand-protein complex that could be concentrated in the micelle-rich phase, as represented in Figure 1. Nevertheless, there have been relatively few attempts to apply the concept of free affinity ligands to carry out bioseparations in two-phase aqueous micellar systems.\(^9\)\(^{20}\)

The triazine dyes Cibacron Blue 3GA and Procion Red HE-3B have been studied as affinity ligands for the enzyme glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) purification using chromatography.\(^21\)\(^{22}\) In this work, we present a discussion, based on experimental results, about the role of affinity interactions on the partitioning of the hydrophilic enzyme G6PD in two-phase aqueous micellar systems. The enzyme G6PD, presents great interest as analytical reagent, being used in various quantitative assays, including the measurement of creatin-kinase activity, hexoses concentrations, and as a marker for enzyme immunoassays.\(^23\)\(^{24}\)

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

The nonionic surfactant octylphenol ethoxylate (Triton X-114), the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase enzyme from Leuconostoc mesenteroides, glucose-6-phosphate, β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (β-NADP) and the dyes cibacron blue 3GA and procion red HE-3B were all from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). The nonionic surfactant n-decyl tetraethylene oxide (C\(_10\)E\(_4\)) was purchased from NIKKO Chemicals (Japan). All the solutions were prepared in McIlvaine’s buffer, pH 7.2, consisting of 16.4 mM disodium phosphate and 1.82 mM citric acid in water purified through a Millipore Milli-Q ion-exchange system (Bedford, MA). All the other reagents were of analytical grade. The glassware used was washed in a 50:50 ethanol: 1 M sodium hydroxide bath, followed by a 1 M nitric acid bath, rinsed copiously with Milli-Q water, and finally dried in an oven.

Determination of G6PD and affinity ligands concentrations

The determination of G6PD concentrations in aqueous surfactant solutions was based on a well-established enzymatic assay.\(^21\) The activity of G6PD was measured by determining the rate of NADPH formation, which absorbs ultraviolet light at 340 nm, using a Beckman DU-640 (Fullerton, CA) spectrophotometer. To prevent phase separation during the assay, a temperature of 15 °C was employed. One G6PD unit was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the reduction of 1 µmol of NADPH per minute under the assay conditions.

The concentrations of cibacron blue and procion red were determined spectrophotometrically, at their respective \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) (\( \lambda = 611 \) and 515 nm, respectively) in a Beckman DU-640 spectrophotometer, with the help of calibration curves.

G6PD partitioning in two-phase aqueous micellar systems

Buffered solutions, each with a total mass of 3 g, were prepared in 10 mL graduated test tubes by the addition of the desired amounts of surfactant (TX-114 or C\(_{10}\)E\(_4\)), ligand (cibacron blue or procion red) and G6PD. Since the enzymatic assay for determination of G6PD concentrations is very sensitive, there was no need to use large amounts of the enzyme and, therefore, the overall G6PD concentration in each partitioning experiment was 0.007% (w/w) (0.7 mM). The systems were well mixed and equilibrated at 4 °C in order to form a clear and homogeneous single phase. Subsequently, the systems were placed in a thermo-regulated device, previously set at the desired temperature, and maintained there for 3 h to attain partitioning equilibrium. After that, the two coexisting micellar phases formed were withdrawn separately with great care, using syringe and needle sets, and the concentrations of G6PD and affinity ligands (cibacron blue or procion red) in each phase were determined. Each partitioning experiment was carried out in triplicate to verify reproducibility.

The partitioning behaviors of G6PD and affinity ligands in the two-phase aqueous micellar systems were quantified in terms of the partition coefficient, \( K_{\text{G6PD}} \) or \( K_{\text{ligand}} \), defined as follows:

\[ K = \frac{C_c}{C_d} \]

where \( C_c \) and \( C_d \) are the G6PD or affinity ligand concentrations in the concentrated and diluted phases, respectively.

Mapping the coexistence curves of the triton X-114/buffer and C\(_{10}\)E\(_4\)/buffer systems

The phase diagrams of the nonionic surfactants in buffer were obtained by the cloud-point method.\(^5\)\(^{20,27}\) Briefly, buffered surfactant solutions of known concentrations were prepared and placed in a transparent thermo-regulated device whith temperature control within 0.02 °C. A magnetic stirrer was used to ensure temperature and concentration homogeneity. The temperature was first lowered such that the solution exhibited a single, clear phase. Then, the temperature was raised slowly, and the temperature at which the
solution first became cloudy, indicating the onset of phase separation, was taken as $T_{CLOUD}$. The procedure was repeated three times for each data point to ensure reproducibility. The Triton X-114 and C$_{10}$E$_4$ concentrations in each coexisting phase of a two-phase system can be read off the phase diagram by noting the intersections of the operating tie-line and the fitted coexistence curve (Figure 2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Coexistence curves of the aqueous micellar systems studied

The coexistence curves obtained for TX-114 and C$_{10}$E$_4$ aqueous micellar system are presented in Figure 2. As can be seen, phase separation occurs upon increasing the temperature. The tie-lines corresponding to the partitioning conditions are also represented in Figure 2 and served as basis to calculate the excluded-volume effects ($\phi_c - \phi_d$), by approximating volume fractions as mass fractions. The $\phi_c$ and $\phi_d$ are represented in each coexistence curve, and these values can be obtained since both phases have densities of approximately 1 g/mL, and therefore, weight fractions can be approximately as volume fractions. Therefore, the “excluded-volume effect” ($EV$) was calculated with the help of the following equation:

$$EV \equiv \frac{\phi_c - \phi_d}{100}$$

Partitioning of the ligands in two-phase aqueous micellar systems

The partitioning of the ligands cibacron blue and procion red, was studied in Triton X-114 and C$_{10}$E$_4$ micellar systems, in the absence of G6PD. These dyes possess chemical structures that mimics the coenzyme NAD(P)${}^+$ and ATP molecule. According to Kroner et al., the cibacron blue and procion red can be considered competitive inhibitors of G6PD. It is well-known that cibacron blue specifically interacts with a series of NAD${}^+$-dependent enzymes, including alcohol dehydrogenase, and is extensively employed as a bio-mimetic dye-affinity ligand for separating these proteins in column chromatography or two-phase aqueous polymer system.

The concentration of ligands was 0.005 mg/g (6.5$x10^{-3}$ mM of cibacron blue and 3.4$x10^{-3}$ mM of procion red), since this amount was low enough to do not cause alterations in the coexistence curve and still high enough to allow spectrophotometric measurement of ligand concentration in the phases obtained. For Triton X-114, it was employed a surfactant concentration of 1.5% (w/w) (35.15 mM) and the partitioning was carried out at 27.5 ºC. For C$_{10}$E$_4$, the conditions were 2.5% (w/w) (74.74 mM) of surfactant and 19.5 ºC. Table 1 present the values of partition coefficients of cibacron blue and procion red ligands ($K_{cib}$) in the TX-114 and C$_{10}$E$_4$ micellar systems, respectively. The activity balances closed in 100% within the experimental error.

As can be seen from Table 1, both dyes partitioned preferentially to the concentrated, micelle-rich phase of a Triton X-114 system, resulting in partition coefficients higher than 1 ($K_{cib}$ = 12.3 and $K_{proc}$ = 11.5). Similar results were observed in the C$_{10}$E$_4$ system with $K_{cib}$ = 9.5 and $K_{proc}$ = 8.1.

The behavior of triazine dyes in two-phase aqueous micellar systems reflects their chemical structures (Figure 3). The combination of hydrophobic groups with charged terminal portions confers an amphiphilic character to these molecules. Consequently, triazine dyes usually partition to the concentrate, micelle-rich phase, where there is more micelles to interact.

G6PD partitioning in the two-phase aqueous nonionic micellar systems in the presence and absence of affinity ligands

Partitioning of the G6PD enzyme was carried out in Triton X-114 system, in the presence of the affinity ligands cibacron blue and procion red, separately. It was used the same conditions of the ligands partitioning experiment (0.005 mg/g ligand, 1.5% (w/w) of
The partition coefficient of the affinity ligands were also determined and did not present significant differences in comparison to ligand partitioning in the absence of G6PD, as can be seen from Table 2.

A partitioning study similar to the one in TX-114 micellar system was carried out in the micellar system composed of the surfactant C_{10}E_{0}. In this case it was employed surfactant concentration of 2.5% (w/w) and temperature of 19.5 °C, corresponding to (φ_{c} - φ_{p}) = 0.05.

Table 2 presents the results of $K_{G6PD}$ obtained in the C_{10}E_{0} micellar system in the presence and absence of the studied ligands. As can be seen, no significant differences were observed in the partitioning behavior of G6PD. The $K_{G6PD}$ values with no ligand and in the presence of cibacron blue and procion red were 0.44, 0.47, and 0.49, respectively.

According to these results, the affinity interactions were not strong enough to influence G6PD partitioning at the conditions employed. A probable explanation for the small influence of the affinity ligands cibacron blue and procion red free in solution on the partitioning of G6PD in the two-phase micellar systems studied (TX-114 and C_{10}E_{4}) is that those molecules might be poorly accessible to bind the enzyme. Due to the chemical structures of the dyes and to their amphiphilic character, they probably interact with nonionic surfactant molecules and aggregate within the micelles between the polar ethylene oxide moieties and the hydrophobic tails, difficulting the formation of the enzyme-ligand complex.

In the absence of affinity interactions, it has been shown previously that the partitioning of hydrophilic proteins, such as G6PD, can be modeled adequately in terms of steric considerations. Specifically, since hydrophilic proteins tend to remain in the aqueous domain outside the micelles when placed in two-phase aqueous micellar systems, their partitioning behavior is a function of the difference in free volume between the two coexisting micellar phases. In this case, larger biomolecules partition more extremely into the micelle-poor phase where they experience less repulsive excluded-volume (EV) interactions with the micelles.

Lam et al. studied green fluorescent protein (GFP) partitioning using affinity-tagged GFP fused to a family 9 carbohydrate-binding module (CBM9-GFP) in two-phase aqueous micellar system composed of the nonionic surfactant n-decyl β-D-glucopyranoside (C_{10}G_{1}). These authors showed that the fusion protein CBM9-GFP was extracted preferentially into the micelle-rich phase, with more than a sixfold increase in the protein partition coefficient, due to the specific affinity interactions between the CBM9 domains and the C_{10}G_{1} surfactants. The GFP, unlike CBM9-GFP, partitioned preferentially into the micelle-poor phase (K_p < 1), in line with the expectation that in the absence of other interactions, the excluded-volume interactions between the protein and the micelles will tend to drive the protein into the micelle-poor phase based on size.

The protein CBM9-GFP, when compared to the enzyme G6PD, is relatively smaller ($R_p, G6PD = 68 Å, R_p, CBM9-GFP = 26-49 Å$) and therefore it should experience a smaller excluded-volume effect than G6PD. In addition, the CBM9-GFP protein interacts with the glucosidic head group of the micelle forming surfactant, whereas in the present study, G6PD interacts with the free ligand (cibacron blue or procion red) that may not be fully accessible for binding the enzyme due to its preferential interaction with the surfactant.

In another study, Kroner et al. observed that the partition coefficient of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase in aqueous two-phase systems can be raised from values lower than 1 to higher approximately 100%, within the experimental error.
than 20 by the addition of cibacron blue or procion red covalently bound to polyethylene glycol in a suitable phase. Here, again, the ligands were covalently bound to the phase forming polymer, in contrast to the present study with free cibacron blue. Also, these authors studied PEG-Dextran systems that, when compared to micellar systems, present smaller excluded-volume effect since when phase separation takes place it results in a PEG-rich phase and a Dextran-rich phase.

Saitoh et al.37 studied protein (BSA, alcohol dehydrogenase, lysozyme and ovalbumin) separation utilizing cibacron blue 3GA-conjugated Triton X-100, (cibacron blue-Triton) combined with Triton X-100-coated polystyrene for providing specific interaction with certain proteins. According to the authors, the extent of BSA and lysozyme recovery increased with increasing cibacron blue-Triton fraction. In contrast, ovalbumin, having no specific interaction to cibacron blue, was hardly collected by cibacron blue-Triton admicelles. Although lysozyme has no specific binding site for NAD\(^+\), its strong interactions with cibacron blue has been utilized for dye affinity-based purification.38,39 The strong interaction may be explained by electrostatic forces between negatively charged cibacron blue-Triton and positively charged lysozyme.40 Surprisingly, the recovery of the NAD\(^+\) dependent enzyme alcohol dehydrogenase was lower in the presence of the cibacron blue-Triton affinity admicelle when compared to uncovered polystyrene resin. This finding suggests that this kind of affinity interactions between NAD(P)\(^+\) dependent enzymes and triazene dyes might not be strong enough in some cases. Similarly, in the present work, the affinity interaction was not strong enough to attract the G6PD to the micelle-rich phase and overcome the excluded-volume effect. In addition the G6PD and dyes molecules are all negatively charged in the systems conditions and, consequently, the electrostatic forces among cibacron blue, procion red and G6PD are predominantly repulsive, despite the main affinity interaction.

Not only the G6PD partitioning profile was altered by the presence of the ligands, but also the behavior of the ligand changed in the presence of the enzyme. Table 2 presents the results of the ligands partition coefficients at 19.5°C in the presence of G6PD and, comparing to the results in the absence of enzyme (Table 1), lower values of \(K_{\text{Ligand}}\) were observed. In this sense, although an increase in the partition coefficient of G6PD is observed in the presence of affinity ligands (Table 2), a decrease in the partition coefficient of the ligands might also takes place due to the binding to G6PD, which partitions preferentially to the diluted, micelle-poor phase. Considering the size of G6PD and the power of the excluded-volume interactions, it is more likely to the partitioning of the ligand to be influenced by the presence of the enzyme than the opposite.

With the purpose of minimizing the excluded-volume effect on the partition coefficient and possibly increase G6PD partitioning to the micelle-rich phase, new experiments were carried out in C\(_{10}\)E\(_{4}\) system at conditions corresponding to a smaller excluded-volume effect. For these experiments we employed a temperature of 19.1°C, providing \(\phi_c - \phi_d = 0.032\). The concentration of C\(_{10}\)E\(_{4}\) was 1.5% (w/w) and the concentrations of cibacron blue and procion red were the same as previous (0.005 mg/g). Table 2 presents the \(K_{\text{G6PD}}\) values obtained at these conditions. As can be seen, a small but statistically significant increment in \(K_{\text{G6PD}}\) was observed in the presence of affinity ligands, with \(K_{\text{G6PD}}\) going from 0.63 in the absence of ligand to 0.72 in the presence of cibacron blue or procion red.

One should note that the partition coefficient of the ligands also varied with the decrease of the difference in surfactant concentration in the phases of the system, \(\phi_c - \phi_d\). The \(K_{\text{Ligand}}\) decreases because partition of the ligand is associated to micellar formation and, therefore, it is directly proportional to \(\phi_c - \phi_d\). In other words, increasing the temperature leads to higher \(\phi_c - \phi_d\) values and, consequently, increases partition of the ligands to the micelle-rich phase. Therefore, as expected, the partition coefficients of cibacron blue and procion red obtained in the C\(_{10}\)E\(_{4}\) system with \(\phi_c - \phi_d = 0.032\) were lower than the ones obtained with \(\phi_c - \phi_d = 0.050\) (Table 2). Nevertheless, they were still higher than 1 (\(K_{\text{cibacron blue}} = 3.7\) and \(K_{\text{procion red}} = 3.8\)).

One could envision a preferential partitioning of G6PD to the micellar phase of a system formed by covalently bound cibacron blue and Triton X-114. In this sense, what was observed by Lam et al.41 when studying the protein GFP could also be observed for G6PD in a system composed by an affinity-type surfactant. In fact, Garg et al.42 synthesized such Triton X-114-cibacron blue affinity surfactant. By mixing the affinity surfactant with pure Triton X-114, polyethylene glycol, and hydroxypropyl-starch, the authors observed the formation of a three-phase micellar system with a surfactant-rich middle phase containing both the Triton X-114 and the affinity surfactant. They employed this system to purify the enzyme lactate dehydrogenase, which partitioned preferentially to the surfactant-rich phase due to affinity interactions. However, the following recovery of the enzyme was done by harvesting the surfactant-rich phase and subjecting it to temperature-induced phase separation, whereby the surfactants concentrated in one phase and the enzyme was recovered in the water-rich phase (or micelle-poor phase). Again, even when employing an affinity surfactant, the excluded-volume seems to play the main role in large proteins partitioning in aqueous two-phase micellar systems, and the same behavior should probably be observed for G6PD.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The aim of this work was to evaluate the effect of affinity interactions on the partitioning of the enzyme G6PD in two-phase aqueous micellar systems. We studied two ligands, cibacron blue and procion red, and compared G6PD partitioning in the presence and absence of these ligands in nonionic micellar systems composed by the surfactants Triton X-114 and C\(_{10}\)E\(_{4}\). Our results indicate that, in spite of the clear presence of affinity interactions, those were not strong enough to significantly overcome the excluded-volume effect and drive the enzyme preferentially to the micelle-rich phase containing the affinity ligands. Therefore, we have not obtained partition coefficient values higher than one at any conditions studied. Discussion of results earlier presented by other authors also suggests that excluded-volume interactions strongly influence the partitioning of large proteins in any aqueous two-phase system, even when employing affinity-type surfactants. Nevertheless, the use of an aqueous two-phase system composed of a polymer-rich phase and a micellar-rich phase containing the affinity ligand could result in better partitioning of G6PD to the micellar phase, since the exclusion by the volume would not be as strong as in the absence of the polymer.
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